Electronically Received 11/16/2023 02:51 PM	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9		County of Los Angeles  12/13/2023  David W. Slayton, Executive Officer / Clerk of Count  By: I. Arellanes Deputy
	10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26 27 28	MANUEL I. FIGUEROA, M.D., for and on behalf of himself and other persons similarly situated,  Plaintiffs,  vs.  MOLINA HEALTHCARE OF CALIFORNIA, INC.,  Defendant.	Case No. BC645344 (Hon. Kenneth R. Freeman, Dept. 14)  CLASS ACTION  [PROPOSED] ORDER GRANTING FINAL APPROVAL OF CLASS ACTION SETTLEMENT  Action filed: December 30, 2016 Trial Date: Not set
	20		

The Plaintiff's Motion for Final Approval of Class Action Settlement, filed on September 21, 2023 (the "Motion for Final Approval") having come on for hearing before this Court, the Honorable Kenneth R. Freeman, presiding, on November 9, 2023, and the Court having considered the Motion for Final Approval, as well as Plaintiff's Memorandum of Points and Authorities in Support of Motion for Final Approval of Class Action Settlement, and the supporting Declarations of Gregory W. Lyons and Settlement Administrator, each filed on September 21, 2023, the Supplemental Declaration of the Settlement Administrator dated November 10, 2023, all other papers filed in this Lawsuit, and oral argument presented during the November 9, 2023 hearing, the Court hereby GRANTS final approval of the class action settlement and FINDS, CONCLUDES, and ORDERS as follows:

- 1. Plaintiff Manuel I. Figueroa, M.D. ("Plaintiff") and Defendant Molina Healthcare of California (identified as Molina Healthcare of California, Inc. in the Lawsuit) ("Molina"), through their counsel of record in this Lawsuit, have reached an agreement to settle all claims in the Lawsuit.
- 2. The Parties have agreed, for Settlement purposes only, that this Lawsuit may be maintained as a class action.
- 3. The Court, having reviewed the terms of the proposed Settlement, as well as the pleadings filed in support of the Motion for Preliminary Approval and in support of the Motion for Final Approval describing Plaintiff's investigation into the claims and defenses in this matter; the information exchanged between the Parties; the past proceedings in this Lawsuit; and the process and procedures for the Settlement, finds that the Settlement is the product of informed, non-collusive, and arm's length negotiations and is within the range of possible approval as fair, reasonable, and adequate, such that notice should be given to the Settlement Class.
- 4. The moving papers presented for the Court's review in support of the Motion for Final Approval set forth that the Settlement Administrator executed the parties' plan to provide notice to the proposed Settlement Class of the terms of the Revised Settlement Agreement and Release (the "Settlement Agreement") and the options provided to the Settlement Class—specifically, to (a) do nothing and, thus, accept the terms of the Settlement, including the amount of the Individual Settlement Payment identified in the Notice; (b) accept the terms of the Settlement, but dispute the

amount of the Individual Settlement Payment identified in the Notice; (c) object to the Settlement in its entirety, but agree to receive only the Individual Settlement Payment identified in the Notice if the Settlement is approved by the Court; and (d) Opt Out of the Settlement. The Parties' Notice attached to the Settlement Agreement as Exhibit A was mailed to members of the Settlement Class at their last-known address, and any Notice that was returned was promptly resent to any forwarding address, to the extent practicable. The Settlement Administrator updated and confirmed current addresses for members of the Settlement Class based on public information available for such medical providers and used standard skip tracing procedures, as necessary, to obtain any forwarding address information. The Parties' Notice and the notice plan set forth in the Settlement Agreement provided the best practicable notice; it was reasonably calculated, under the circumstances, to apprise the Settlement Class of the pendency of the Lawsuit and of their right to object to or to exclude themselves from the proposed Settlement; it was reasonable and constitutes due, adequate and sufficient notice to all providers entitled to receive notice; and it met all requirements of applicable law.

Good cause appearing therefore, **IT IS HEREBY ORDERED** as follows:

- 1. Capitalized terms not otherwise defined herein shall have the same meanings as set forth in the Settlement Agreement.
- 2. The Court has jurisdiction over Plaintiff, Defendant, and all Settlement Class Members.
- 3. The Court has jurisdiction to approve the Settlement and the Settlement Agreement and all exhibits thereto.
- 4. The Court certifies the Settlement Class for purposes of this Settlement only. For settlement purposes only, the Lawsuit may be maintained on behalf of a Settlement Class defined as follows:

All California medical providers qualified to receive Enhanced Payments under Section 1202 of the ACA for eligible E&M Services provided to Molina's health plan members between January 1, 2013 and December 31, 2014 who submitted claims or encounters for such services to Molina, which claims or encounters were not denied prior to the filing of the Lawsuit, and who Molina's records indicate did not receive Enhanced Payments from Molina for some or all of those claims or

encounters. According to Molina's records, there are 3,464 providers within the Settlement Class.

Specifically excluded from the Settlement Class are the following persons: (i) Class Counsel and their immediate family members; (ii) any Judges or mediators who have presided over the Lawsuit and their immediate family members; and (iii) any provider who has separately settled and released his or her claims against Molina, including but not limited to, A. Shawn Adhami, M.D.

- 5. The Court deems this definition sufficient for the purpose of Rule 3.765(a) of the California Rules of Court, and solely for the purpose of effectuating the settlement.
- 6. The Court finds that the applicable requirements of the California Code of Civil Procedure § 382 have been satisfied with respect to the Settlement Class and the proposed Settlement. The Court hereby makes final its earlier provisional certification of the Settlement Class, as set forth in the Preliminary Approval Order. The Court finds that the Settlement was the product of arm's length negotiations between experienced counsel and that the Settlement, including the Total Settlement Payments in the sum of \$3,486,168.84 (\$3,488,489.53 minus \$2,320.69, the amount that would have been paid to Ebtissam H. Korkis, MD had she not opted out of the settlement) is fair, reasonable, and adequate as to all Parties and consistent and in compliance with all requirements of due process and applicable law, as to and in the best interests of all Parties, and directs the Parties and their counsel to implement and consummate the Settlement Agreement in accordance with its terms and provisions.
- 7. The Court declares the Settlement Agreement and this Final Approval Order to be binding on and have res judicata and preclusive effect in all pending and future lawsuits or other proceedings encompassed by the release set forth in Paragraph 16 of the Settlement Agreement maintained by or on behalf of Plaintiff and all Settlement Class Members, on their own behalf and on behalf of their respective present, former, and future administrators, trustees, spouses, attorneys, agents, assigns, executors, heirs, partners, privies, representatives, predecessors-in-interest and successors, and any other person or entity who may claim through them. Paragraph 16 of the Settlement Agreement states as follows:
  - 16. <u>RELEASE</u>. Except as to the rights and obligations provided for under the terms of this Agreement, upon the date on which Molina transfers the Total Settlement Funds to the Settlement Administrator per Paragraph 21(a), Plaintiff,

on behalf of himself and each of the other Settlement Class Members (collectively, the "Releasing Parties"), hereby releases and forever discharges Molina, and all of its past, present and future predecessors, successors, parent entities, subsidiaries, divisions, employees, affiliates, officers, directors, shareholders, representatives, attorneys, insurers, agents and assigns (collectively, the "Released Parties") from any and all causes of action, claims, allegations, damages, costs, fees or liabilities that were alleged in, or arise out of facts asserted in, the Amended Class Action Complaint filed on January 16, 2018 (the "Released Claims")

- (a) Subject to Court approval, all Settlement Class Members shall be bound by this Agreement and the release set forth in this Paragraph 16, irrespective of whether they received actual notice of the Lawsuit or this Settlement. This Agreement does not affect any claims or potential claims of any providers who are not Settlement Class Members.
- (b) Without in any way limiting the scope of the release contained in this Paragraph 16, the release covers any and all claims for attorneys' fees, costs or disbursements incurred by Class Counsel or any other counsel representing Plaintiff or the Settlement Class Members, or any of them, in connection with or related in any manner to the Lawsuit, the Settlement, the administration of the Settlement and the Released Claims as well as any and all claims related to the Incentive Award Payment and the Class Counsel Fee Payment.
- 8. In addition, Paragraph 17 of the Settlement Agreement provides a waiver of the provisions of California Civil Code section 1542, but that waiver is limited to Plaintiff and does not apply to the Settlement Class.
- 9. The Court finds that the provision of the Notice: (i) constituted the best practicable notice; (ii) constituted notice that was reasonably calculated under the circumstances to apprise the Settlement Class of the pendency of the Lawsuit, of their right to object to or to exclude themselves from the proposed Settlement, of their right to appear at the Final Approval Hearing, and of their right to seek monetary and other relief; (iii) constituted reasonable, due, adequate, and sufficient notice to all providers entitled to receive notice; and (iv) met all requirements of due process and any other applicable law.
- 10. The Court finds that Class Counsel and Plaintiff adequately represented the Settlement Class for purposes of entering into and implementing the Settlement and the Settlement Agreement.

- 11. Without affecting the finality of the Final Approval Order for purposes of appeal, the Court reserves jurisdiction over the Settlement Administrator, Defendant, Plaintiff, and the Settlement Class Members as to all matters relating to the administration, consummation, enforcement, and interpretation of the terms of the Settlement, the Settlement Agreement, the Final Approval Order, and for any other necessary purpose.
- 12. Upon entry of the Final Approval Order, the Releasing Parties shall be barred from asserting any Released Claims against the Released Parties, and the Releasing Parties shall have released the Released Claims as against the Released Parties.
- 13. The Court finds that zero (0) of the Settlement Class Members have objected to the Settlement and one (1) Settlement Class Member, Ebtissam H. Korkis, MD, has opted out of the Settlement. The Court approves the Opt-Out List and determines that the Opt-Out List is a conclusive and complete list of all members of the Settlement Class who have timely and effectively requested exclusion from the Settlement Class and, accordingly, shall neither share in nor be bound by the Final Approval Order. As a result, there are 3,463 members of the Settlement Class.
- 14. The Court authorizes the Parties, without further approval from the Court, to agree to and adopt such amendments, modifications, and expansions of the Settlement Agreement as (i) shall be consistent in all material respects with the Final Approval Order; and (ii) do not limit the rights of the Parties or Settlement Class Members.
- 15. For the reasons stated herein, the Court hereby grants final approval of the Settlement and hereby directs that the Settlement be effected in accordance with the Settlement Agreement and the following terms and conditions.
  - 16. Class Counsel is awarded attorneys' fees and costs in the amount of \$872,122.38.
- 17. Plaintiff Manuel I. Figueroa, M.D. is awarded an incentive award in the amount of \$2,500.
- 18. The Settlement Administrator is awarded its reasonable fees, costs, and expenses related to the administration of this Settlement in an amount not to exceed \$200,000, with arrangements to be made by Defendant and the Settlement Administrator for Defendant to pay the Settlement Administrator's fees, costs, and expenses directly. Neither the Settlement Class Members

nor Class Counsel are responsible for any expenses in providing the Notice or in administering the settlement.

- 19. Within 30 days after entry of this Final Approval Order, Molina shall transfer to the Settlement Administrator the funds necessary to make payment of: (i) the Total Settlement Payments; (ii) the Class Counsel Fee Payment; and (iii) the Incentive Award Payment. Handling and payment of such funds and payments shall be made pursuant to Paragraphs 14, 15, 21, and 22 of the Settlement Agreement.
- 20. The Court hereby orders Class Counsel to file, by or before Noon on December 11, 2024, a Final Report setting forth the matters specified in Paragraph 23 of the Settlement Agreement, including a distribution report. The Court sets December 11, 2024 as a non-appearance date for the filing of the Final Report.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

Dated: 12/13/2023

Hon. Kenneth R. Freeman
Judge of the Superior Court
Kenneth R. Freeman/Judge